PATENT COOPER & Smith Kline 25 MAY 2004 27 SEP 2004 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY Received Stevenage GiaxoSmith (line THOMPSON, Clive Beresford Corporate IP **GLAXOSMITHKLINE** Received ERENTFORDNOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Corporate Intellectual Property **EXAMINATION REPORT** 980 Great West Road 2 0 MAY 2004 (PCT Rule 71.1) Middlesex TW8 9GS DH ADMIN:MI **GRANDE BRETAGNE** ON UPDATED BUT OF mailing 19M : N/A 18.05.2004 STANOUTH CAS TTY CHECKED/FILE IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant's or agent's file reference AXP/PG4786

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03349

International filing date (day/month/year)

27.03.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

28.03.2002

Applicant

To:

CN925.1

**Brentford** 

GLAXO GROUP LIMITED et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

**Authorized Officer** 

Ambroa, J.R.

Tel. +49 89 2399-8012





## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference AXP/PG4786  International application No. PCT/EP 03/03349		FOR FURTHER ACTIO	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
		International filing date (day/n	month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 28.03.2002		
A61K31/		or both national classification and IF	PC		
Applicant GLAXO	GROUP LIMITED et al.				
1. This	s international preliminary nority and is transmitted to	examination report has been pre the applicant according to Artic	epared by this International Preliminary Examining le 36.		
2. This	REPORT consists of a to	otal of 5 sheets, including this co	over sheet.		
□	been amended and are	the basis for this report and/or si ction 607 of the Administrative Ir	ets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have heets containing rectifications made before this Authority nstructions under the PCT).		
3. This	report contains indication  Basis of the opinion	ns relating to the following items:	:		
11	☐ Priority				
III		t of opinion with regard to novelt	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
IV	☐ Lack of unity of in	vention			
٧	☐ Reasoned statement citations and explain	ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with re anations supporting such statem	gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI	☐ Certain document	s cited			
VII	☐ Certain defects in	the international application			
VIII	☐ Certain observation	ns on the international application	on		
Date of sul	omission of the demand	Dat	te of completion of this report		
30.09.2003			.05.2004		
	mailing address of the intern	ational Aut	thorized Officer		
(line	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	Jol	hnson, C		
	Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		dephone No. +49 89 2399-8287		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03349

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-29	9	as originally filed				
	Clai	ims, Numbers					
		•	as originally filed				
	1-2	1	as ongmany med				
2.	With	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
	□.	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
1.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement st	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
3	Ado	ditional observations.	if necessary:				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03349

1.		questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
		the entire international application,
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 14-16(part),20
		because:
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 20 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
		see separate sheet
	×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 14-16(part) are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
		see separate sheet
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.
2.	or a	leaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and mino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

## V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. Statement

 Novelty (N)
 Yes: Claims No: Claims
 1-14,16-21 No: Claims

 Inventive step (IS)
 Yes: Claims No: Claims
 1-14,16-21 No: Claims

 Industrial applicability (IA)
 Yes: Claims
 1-19,21

No: Claims

the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Non-establishment of opinion III.

Claims 14-16 do not fulfil the requirements of Article 6 PCT and have thus only been searched insofar as the "urea-forming group" is as defined on p. 7, last 5 lines and the "protected amino group" is as defined on p. 8, last 3 lines. Claim 20 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

### V. Reasoned statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0031032

D2: Journal Of Medicinal Chemistry, American Chemical Society.

Washington, US (05-1990), 33(5), 1406-1413

### Novelty

The compounds of claim 1 differ from those of D1 because of the presence of a morpholine ring rather than a pyrrolidine ring, as well as because of the presence of the non-saturated heterocyclyl R1 group instead of the prior art heteroaryl group.

D2 discloses a compound 9 which is novelty destroying for claim 15. Claim 15 therefore does not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

### Inventive step

In view of the lack of novelty, claim 15 cannot be considered inventive. The compounds of D1 are CCR-3 antagonists. The technical problem appears to be the provision of further CCR-3 antagonists for use in the treatment of inflammatory diseases. The cited documents do not make it obvious to make the 2 modifications (replacement of pyrrolidine by morpholine, replacement of heteroaryl by heterocyclyl) to the compounds of D1 in the expectation that the activity would be maintained. Therefore those compounds of claim 1 which have the alleged activity may be considered inventive. The intermediates of claims 14- 16 either possess the morpholine ring which makes claim 1 inventive, or they contain a direct precursor thereof, hence those intermediates which are new are also considered inventive.

Claims 1-14, 16-21 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/03349 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

## Industrial applicability

Claims 1-19, 21 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

No unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States for assessing whether present claim 20 is industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. For example, the EPO does not consider claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment to be industrially applicable, but allows claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.